LAW OFFICES

BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC

9595 WILSHIRE BLVD., SUITE 900 **BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212**

APR 0 9 2019

a citizen of

877.534.2590 FAX 310.247.0160 www.brodskysmith.com

NEW JERSEY OFFICE 1310 N. KINGS HIGHWAY CHERRY HILL, NJ 08034. 856.795.7250

NEW YORK OFFICE 240 MINEOLA BOULEVARD MINEOLA, NY 11501 516.741.4977

PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE TWO BALA PLAZA, STE 510 BALA CYNWYD, PA 19004 610.667.6200

April 3, 2019

APC Berth 193	Associated Pacific Constructors, Inc.
Attn: Mike Marchitto, Manager	c/o Paul E Gillen, Agent for Service of
325 Yacht St.	Process
Wilmington, CA 90744	495 Embarcadero
	Morro Bay, CA 93442
Associated Pacific Constructors Inc	
Attn: Mike Marchitto, Manager	
495 Embarcadero	
Morro Bay, CA 93442	
Administrator	Executive Officer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	Regional Water Quality Control Board
Mail Code: 1101A	Los Angeles Region
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.	320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20460	Los Angeles, CA 90013
Acting Regional Administrator	Executive Director
U.S. EPA, Region 9	State Water Resources Control Board
75 Hawthorne Street	1001 I Street
San Francisco, CA 94105	Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Notice of Violation and Intent to File Suit under the Clean Water Act

To Whom It May Concern:

recreational, educational, and spiritual purposes.

Brodsky & Smith, LLC ("Brodsky Smith") represents the State of California. This letter is to give notice that Brodsky Smith, on s behalf, intends to file a civil action against Associated Pacific Constructors, Inc. ("APC") for violations of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq. ("Clean Water Act" or "CWA") at APC's facility, APC Berth 193, located at 325 Yacht St., Wilmington, CA 90744 (the "Facility"). is a citizen of the State of California who is concerned with the environmental health the Los Angeles Harbor, and uses and enjoys the waters of the Los Angeles Harbor, its inflows, and other areas of the overall San Pedro Bay Watershed, of which the Los Angeles Harbor is a part. enjoyment of these waters are negatively affected by the pollution caused by APC's operations. Additionally, acts in the interest of the general public to prevent pollution in these waterways, for the benefit of their ecosystems, and for the benefits of all individuals and communities who use these waterways for various This letter addresses APC's unlawful discharge of pollutants from the Facility via indirect flow into the Los Angeles Harbor and the overall San Pedro Bay Watershed. Specifically, investigation of the Facility has uncovered significant, ongoing, and continuous violations of the CWA and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") General Permit No CAS000001 [State Water Resources Control Board] Water Quality Orders No. 2014-0057-DWQ (the "Industrial Stormwater Permit") and 92-12-DWQ (as amended by Order No. 97-03-DWQ) (the "Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit").²

CWA section 505(b) requires that sixty (60) days prior to the initiation of a civil action under CWA section 505(a), a citizen must give notice of his or her intent to file suit. 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b). Notice must be given to the alleged violator, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), and the State in which the violations occur. As required by section 505(b), this Notice of Violation and Intent to File Suit provides notice to APC of the violations that have occurred and which continue to occur at the Facility. After the expiration of sixty (60) days from the date of this Notice of Violation and the Intent to File Suit, intends to file suit in federal court against APC under CWA section 505(a) for the violations described more fully below.

During the 60-day notice period, is willing to discuss effective remedies for the violations noticed in this letter. We suggest that APC contact statements at Brodsky & Smith within the next twenty (20) days so that these discussions may be completed by the conclusion of the 60-day notice period. Please note that we do not intend to delay the filing of a complaint in federal court, and service of the complaint shortly thereafter, even if discussions are continuing when the notice period ends.

I. THE LOCATION OF THE ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

A. The Facility

APC's Facility is located at 325 Yacht St., Wilmington, CA 90744. At the Facility, APC operates as a marine vessel repair and marine structure storage area. At the Facility, the following industrial activities occur: (i) operation of marine vessels including barges; (ii) maintenance of marine vessels; and (ii) storage of marine materials and underwater construction materials, including steel, timber, and concrete items used in pier, wharf, and mooring construction. Other activities carried out in the regular course of business at the facility include storage of fuel and other oils, maintenance, equipment storage, and waste storage. Repair and maintenance activities carried out at the facility include, but are not limited to, electrical, plumbing, roofing, asphalt, concrete, and utilities repairs as well as janitorial duties. Possible pollutants from the Facility include total suspended solids ("TSS"), waste oils, lubricants, fuel, trash, debris, hazardous materials, oil and grease ("O&G"), pH, heavy metals such as iron, aluminum, lead, copper, and zinc, as well as other pollutants. Stormwater from the Facility discharges, indirectly, into the Los Angeles Harbor.

B. The Affected Water

The Los Angeles Harbor and overall San Pedro Bay Watershed are waters of the United States. The CWA requires that water bodies such as the Los Angeles Harbor and overall San Pedro Bay Watershed meet water quality objectives that protect specific "beneficial uses." The beneficial uses of the Los Angeles Harbor and overall San Pedro Bay Watershed include commercial and sport fishing, estuarine habitat, fish migration,

¹ APC's Notice of Intent ("NOI") filed with the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board ("LARWQCB") lists the receiving waters of the Facility as the "Unidentified Water" via indirect flow. Upon investigation, it is showledge and belief that the most immediate receiving water of the Facility is the Los Angeles Harbor, via indirect flow, and that the Los Angeles Harbor is a part of the San Pedro Bay Watershed, which thereafter flows into the Pacific Ocean.

² On April 1, 2014, the State Water Resources Control Board adopted an updated NPDES General Permit for Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity, Water Quality Order No. 2014-57-DWQ, which has taken force or effect on its effective date of July 1, 2015. As of the effective date, Water Quality Order No. 2014-57-DWQ has superseded and rescinded the prior Industrial Stormwater Permit except for purposes of enforcement actions brought pursuant to the prior permit.

navigation, preservation of rare and endangered species, water contact and non-contact recreation, shellfish harvesting, fish spawning, and wildlife habitat. Contaminated stormwater from the Facility adversely affects the water quality of the Los Angeles Harbor and overall San Pedro Bay Watershed, and threatens the beneficial uses and ecosystem of these watersheds, which includes habitats for threatened and endangered species.

II. THE FACILITY'S VIOLATIONS OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT

It is unlawful to discharge pollutants to waters of the United States, such as the Los Angeles Harbor, without an NPDES permit or in violation of the terms and conditions of an NPDES permit. CWA § 301(a), 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a); see also CWA § 402(p), 33 U.S.C. § 1342(p) (requiring NPDES permit issuance for the discharge of stormwater associated with industrial activities). The Industrial Stormwater Permit authorizes certain discharges of stormwater, conditioned on compliance with its terms.

APC has submitted a Notice of Intent ("NOI") to be authorized to discharge stormwater from the Facility under the Industrial Stormwater Permit since as early as 2009. However, information available to indicates that stormwater discharges from the Facility have violated several terms of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA. Apart from discharges that comply with the Industrial Stormwater Permit, the Facility lacks NPDES permit authorization for any other discharges of pollutants into waters of the United States.

A. Discharges in Excess of BAT/BCT Levels

The Effluent Limitations of the Industrial Stormwater Permit prohibit the discharge of pollutants from the facility in concentrations above the level commensurate with the application of best available technology economically achievable ("BAT") for toxic pollutants³ and best conventional pollutant control technology ("BCT") for conventional pollutants.⁴ Industrial Stormwater Permit § I(D)(32), II(D)(2); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, Order Part B(3). The EPA has published Benchmark values set at the maximum pollutant concentration present if an industrial facility is employing BAT and BCT, as listed in Attachment 1 to this letter.⁵ These benchmark values are reiterated and incorporated into the Industrial Stormwater Permit. See Industrial Stormwater Permit § XI(B) Tables 1-2.

Additionally, the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit notes that effluent limitation guidelines for several named industrial categories have been established and codified by the Federal Government. See Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit pp. VIII. The Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit mandates that for facilities that fall within such industrial categories, compliance with the listed BAT and BCT for the specified pollutants listed therein must be met in order to be in compliance with the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit. Id. APC falls within these named industrial categories and it must have complied with the effluent limitations found therein in order to have been in compliance with the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit during its effective period. In addition, the Industrial Stormwater Permit requires dischargers to comply with Effluent Limitations "consistent with U.S. EPA's Multi Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity (the "MSGP")". See Industrial Stormwater Permit § I(D)(33). The MSGP has specific numeric effluent limitations based upon Standard Industrial Classification ("SIC") codes. Furthermore, these SIC code based benchmark values are reiterated and

³ BAT is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 437.1 *et seq*. Toxic pollutants are listed at 40 C.F.R. § 401.15 and include copper, lead, and zinc, among others.

⁴ BCT is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 437.1 *et seq*. Conventional pollutants are listed at 40 C.F.R. § 401.16 and include BOD, TSS, oil and grease, pH, and fecal coliform.

⁵ The Benchmark values are part of the EPA's Multi-Sector General Permit ("MSGP") and can be found at: https://www.epa.gov/npdes/final-2015-msgp-documents.

incorporated into the Industrial Stormwater Permit. See Industrial Stormwater Permit § XI(B) Tables 1-2.6 Notably, APC is classified as falling under SIC Code 4491, relating to Marine Cargo Handling, and SIC Code 4492, relating to Towing and Tugboat Services, requiring it to be within numerical effluent limitations for (i) pH; (ii) Oil and Grease; (iii) Total Suspended Solids; (iv) Total Zinc; (v) Total Iron; (vi) Total Aluminum; and (vii) Total Lead. APC also tests for the additional pollutant parameters of (viii) Copper based on the total maximum daily load ("TMDL") of the receiving water, as discussed in its most recent SWPPP § 9.1-Rev03. Based on APC's self-reporting data and/or lack thereof, APC has not met this requirement and was in violation of the Previous Stormwater Permit over a period of approximately at least the past five (5) years.

APC's complete lack of self-reporting of industrial stormwater discharges show a repeated pattern of failure to adequately monitor numerical pollutant discharge values in every instance of self-reporting. See Attachment 2. This pattern of a lack of self-reporting indicate that APC has failed and is failing to employ measures that constitute BAT and BCT in violation of the requirements of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit alleges and notifies APC that its stormwater discharges from the Facility are likely to have contained and continue to contain levels of pollutants that exceed benchmark values for various pollutants, including annual and/or instantaneous NAL overages for all such parameters within the last five (5) annual reporting periods.

APC's ongoing discharges of stormwater containing levels of pollutants above EPA Benchmark values and BAT and BCT based levels of control also demonstrate that APC has not developed and implemented sufficient Best Management Practices ("BMPs") at the Facility. Proper BMPs could include, but are not limited to, moving certain pollution-generating activities under cover or indoors capturing and effectively filtering or otherwise treating all stormwater prior to discharge, frequent sweeping to reduce build-up of pollutants on-site, installing filters on downspouts and storm drains, and other similar measures.

APC's failure to develop and/or implement adequate pollution controls to meet BAT and BCT and the Facility violates and will continue to violate the CWA and the Industrial Stormwater Permit each and every day APC's discharges stormwater without meeting BAT/BCT. alleges that APC has discharged stormwater containing excessive levels of pollutants from the Facility to the Los Angeles Harbor during at least every significant local rain event over 0.1 inches in at least the last five (5) years. Attachment 3 compiles all dates in at least the last five (5) years when a significant rain event occurred. APC is subject to civil penalties for each violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA within at least the past five (5) years.

B. Discharges Impairing Receiving Waters

The Industrial Stormwater Permit's Discharge Prohibitions disallow stormwater discharges that cause or threaten to cause pollution, contamination, or nuisance. See Industrial Stormwater Permit § III; Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, Order Part A(2). The Industrial Stormwater Permit also prohibits stormwater discharges to surface or groundwater that adversely impact human health or the environment. See Industrial Stormwater Permit § VI(b)-(c); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, Order Part C(1). Receiving Water Limitations of the Industrial Stormwater Permit prohibit stormwater discharges that cause or contribute to an exceedance of applicable Water Quality Standards ("WQS") contained in a Statewide Water Quality Control Plan or the applicable Regional Water Board's Basin Plan. See Industrial Stormwater Permit § VI(a); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit at Order Part C(2). Applicable WQS are set forth in the California Toxic Rule ("CTR")⁸ and Chapter 3 of the Los Angeles Region (Region 4) Water Quality

⁶ Of note, APC acknowledges this requirement in their most current Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan ("SWPPP"), at Section 9.1-Rev03.

⁷ Significant local rain events are reflected in the rain gauge data available at: http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdoweb/search.

⁸ The CTR is set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 131.38 and is explained in the Federal Register preamble accompanying the CTR promulgation set forth at 65 Fed. Reg. 31, 682 (May 18, 2000).

Control Plan (the "Basin Plan"). Exceedances of WQS are violations of the Industrial Stormwater Permit, the CTR, and the Basin Plan.

The Basin Plan establishes WQS for all Inland Surface and Coastal waters of Los Angeles and Ventura Counties, including but not limited to the following:

- Waters shall not contain suspended or settleable material in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial users.
- Waters shall be free of changes in turbidity that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial
 uses. Increases in natural turbidity attributable to controllable water quality factors shall not
 exceed 20% where natural turbidity is between 0 and 50 nephelometric turbidity units ("NTU"),
 and shall not exceed 10% where the natural turbidity is greater than 50 NTU.
- All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that are toxic to, or that produce detrimental physiological responses in, human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.
- Surface waters shall not contain concentrations of chemical constituents in amounts that adversely affect any designated beneficial use.

alleges that APC's stormwater discharges have caused or contributed to exceedances of Receiving Water Limitations in the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the WQS set forth in the Basin Plan and CTR. These allegations are based on APC's self-reported data or lack thereof submitted to the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board. These sampling results or lack thereof indicate that APC's discharges are causing or threatening to cause pollution, contamination, and/or nuisance; adversely impacting human health or the environment; and violating applicable WQS.

alleges that each day that APC has discharged stormwater from the Facility, APC's stormwater has and/or may have contained levels of pollutants that exceeded one or more of the Receiving Water Limitations and/or applicable WQS in the Los Angeles Harbor and overall San Pedro Bay Watershed.

The Facility to the Los Angeles Harbor and overall San Pedro Bay Watershed during at least every significant local rain event over 0.1 inches in the last five (5) years. See Attachment 3. Each discharge from the Facility that violates a Receiving Water Limitation or has caused or contributed, or caused or contributes, to an exceedance of an applicable WQS constitutes a separate violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA APC is subject to penalties for each violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA within at least the past five (5) years.

C. Failure to Develop and Implement an Adequate Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

The Industrial Stormwater Permit requires dischargers to develop and implement an adequate Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan ("SWPPP"). See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § X(B); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § A(1)(a). The Industrial Stormwater Permit also requires dischargers to make all necessary revisions to existing SWPPPs promptly. See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § X(B); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit at Order Part E(2).

The SWPPP must include, among other requirements, the following: a site map, a list of significant materials handled and stored at the site, a description and assessment of all APC pollutant sources, a description of the BMPs that will reduce or prevent pollutants in stormwater discharges, specification of BMPs designed to reduce pollutant discharge to BAT and BCT levels, a comprehensive site compliance

⁹ The Basin Plan is published by the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board at: http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles/water_issues/programs/basin_plan/basin_plan_documentation.s html.

evaluation completed each reporting year, and revisions to the SWPPP within 90 days after a facility manager determines that the SWPPP is in violation of any requirements of the Industrial Stormwater Permit. See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § X(A); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit Section § A.

Based on information available to proposed, APC has failed to prepare and/or implement an adequate SWPPP and/or failed to revise the SWPPP to satisfy each of the requirements of § X(A) of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and/or § A Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit. For Example, APC's SWPPP does not include and/or APC has not implemented adequate BMPs designed to reduce pollutant levels in discharges to BAT and BCT levels in accordance with Section A(8) of the Industrial Stormwater Permit, as evidenced by the data in Attachment 2. Additionally, APC has clearly failed to create and implement an adequate the Monitoring and Reporting Program ("MRP") on a consistent basis for a period of at least five (5) annual reporting periods, as evidenced by its lack of proper testing for all required pollutant parameters on a consistent basis.

Accordingly, APC has violated the CWA each and every day that it has failed to develop and/or implement an adequate SWPPP meeting all of the requirements of § X(A) of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and/or § A Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, and APC will continue to be in violation every day until it develops and implements an adequate SWPPP. APC is subject to penalties for each violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA occurring within at least the past five (5) years.

D. Failure to Develop and Implement an Adequate Monitoring and Reporting Program and to Perform Annual Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluations

The Industrial Stormwater Permit requires facility operators to develop and implement a Monitoring and Reporting Program ("MRP"). See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI; Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B(1) and Order Part E(3). The Industrial Stormwater Permit requires that MRP ensure that each the facility's stormwater discharges comply with the Discharge Prohibitions, Effluent Limitations, and Receiving Water Limitations specified in the Industrial Stormwater Permit. Id. Facility operators must ensure that their MRP practices reduce or prevent pollutants in stormwater and authorized non-stormwater discharges as well as evaluate and revise their practices to meet changing conditions at the facility. Id. This may include revising the SWPPP as required by § X(A) of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and/or §A Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit.

The MRP must measure the effectiveness of BMPs used to prevent or reduce pollutants in stormwater and authorized non-stormwater discharges, and facility operators must revise the MRP whenever appropriate. See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI; Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § at Section B. The Industrial Stormwater Permit requires facility operators to visually observe and collect samples of stormwater discharges from all drainage areas. Id. Facility operators are also required to provide an explanation of monitoring methods describing how the facility's monitoring program will satisfy these objectives. Id.

APC has been operating the Facility with an inadequately developed and/or inadequately implemented MRP, in violation of the substantive and procedural requirements set forth in Section B of the Industrial Stormwater permit. For example, the data in Attachment 2 indicates that APC's monitoring program has not ensured that stormwater dischargers are in compliance with the Discharge Prohibitions, Effluent Limitations, and Receiving Water Limitations of the Industrial Stormwater Permit as required by the Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI and/or the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B. The monitoring has not resulted in practices at the Facility that adequately reduce or prevent pollutants in stormwater as required by Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI and/or the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B. Additionally, the Industrial Stormwater Permit requires dischargers to comply with Effluent Limitations "consistent with U.S. EPA's Multi Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity (the "MSGP")". The MSGP has specific numeric effluent limitations based upon Standard Industrial Classification ("SIC") codes. Furthermore, these SIC code based benchmark values are reiterated and incorporated into the Industrial Stormwater Permit. See Industrial Stormwater Permit § XI(B) Tables 1-2. Notably, APC is classified as falling under SIC Code 4491, relating to Marine Cargo Handling, and SIC Code 4492, relating to Towing and Tugboat Services, requiring it to be within numerical effluent limitations

for (i) pH; (ii) Oil and Grease; (iii) Total Suspended Solids; (iv) Total Zinc; (v) Total Iron; (vi) Total Aluminum; and (vii) Total Lead. APC also tests for the additional pollutant parameters of (viii) Copper based on the TMDL of the receiving water, as discussed in its most recent SWPPP at § 9.1-Rev03. As previously stated, and in clear violation of the terms of the Industrial Stormwater Permit, APC has failed to report testing results for any applicable effluent limitation in their annual reports for the past five (5) annual reporting periods. See Attachments 2, 3. Therefore, the data in Attachment 2 indicates that APC's monitoring program has not effectively identified or responded to compliance problems at the Facility or resulted in effective revision of the BMPs in use or the Facility's SWPPP to address such ongoing problems as required by Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI and/or the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B.

As a part of the MRP, the Industrial Stormwater Permit specifies that Facility operators shall collect a total of four (4) stormwater samples throughout an annual reporting period. Specifically the Industrial Stormwater Permit requires, "The discharger to collect and analyze samples from two (2) Qualifying Storm Events ("QSEs") within the first half of each reporting year (July 1 to December 31), and two (2) QSEs within the second half of each reporting year (January 1 to June 30)." Industrial Stormwater Permit § XI B(2). Furthermore, should facility operators fail to collect samples from the first storm event of the wet season, they are still required to collect samples from two other storm events during the wet season, and explain in the annual report why the first storm event was not sampled. *Id.* Despite this requirement APC has failed to submit reporting for any QSE whatsoever in the past five (5) annual reporting periods, as required under the Industrial Stormwater Permit. APC has not submitted adequate explanations for such inadequate and insufficient data.

The Industrial Stormwater Permit also requires dischargers to include laboratory reports with their Annual Reports submitted to the Regional Board. See Industrial Stormwater Permit, Fact Sheet § O and/or Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B(14). Notably, APC has failed to submit laboratory reports any QSE whatsoever in the past five (5) annual reporting periods, as required under the Industrial Stormwater Permit. APC has not submitted adequate explanations for such missing data.

As a result of APC's failure to adequately develop and/or implement an adequate MRP at the Facility, APC has been in daily and continuous violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA each and every day for at least the past five (5) years. These violations are ongoing. APC will continue to be in violation of the monitoring and reporting requirement each day that APC fails to adequately develop and/or implement an effective MRP at the Facility. APC is subject to penalties for each violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA occurring for at least the last five (5) years.

E. Unpermitted Discharges

Section 301(a) of the CWA prohibits the discharge of any pollutant into waters of the United States unless the discharge is authorized by a NPDES Permit issued pursuant to Section 402 of the CWA. See 33 U.S.C. §§ 1311(a), 1342. APC sought coverage for the Facility under the Industrial Stormwater Permit, which states that any discharge from an industrial facility not in compliance with the Industrial Stormwater Permit "must be either eliminated or permitted by a separate NPDES permit." Industrial Stormwater Permit, § III; Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, Order Part A(1). Because APC has not obtained coverage under a separate NPDES permit and has failed to eliminate discharges not permitted by the Industrial Stormwater Permit, each and every discharge from the Facility described herein not in compliance with the Industrial Stormwater Permit has constituted and will continue to constitute a discharge without CWA Permit coverage in violation of section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a)

¹¹ Under the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, only two samplings per year was required, specifically, from "the first hour of discharge from (1) the first storm event of the wet season, and (2) at least one other storm event in the wet season." See Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B(5)(a). Of note, APC acknowledge this requirement in their most current SWPPP, at Section 9.1-Rev03

IV. PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THE VIOLATIONS

Associated Pacific Constructors, Inc. is the person responsible of the violations at the Facility described above.

V. NAME AND ADDRESS OF NOTICING PARTY



VI. COUNSEL

Evan J. Smith, Esquire esmith@brodskysmith.com Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire rcardona@brodskysmith.com Brodsky & Smith, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 T: (877) 534-2590 F: (310) 247-0160

VII. REMEDIES

intends, at the close of the 60-day notice period or thereafter, to file a citizen suit under CWA section 505(a) against APC for the above-referenced violations. will seek declaratory and injunctive relief to prevent further CWA violations pursuant to CWA sections 505(a) and (d), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a) and (d), and such other relief as permitted by law. In addition, will seek civil penalties pursuant to CWA section 309(d), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d), and 40 C.F.R. § 19.4, against APC in this action. The CWA imposes civil penalty liability of up to \$51,570 per day per violation for violations occurring after November 2, 2015, and \$37,500 per day per violation for violations occurring after January 12, 2009 but before November 2, 2015. 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d); 40 C.F.R. § 19.4. will seek to recover attorneys' fees, experts' fees, and costs in accordance with CWA section 505(d), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(d).

As noted above, Counsel are willing to meet with you during the 60-day notice period to discuss effective remedies for the violations noted in this letter. Please contact me to initiate these discussions.

Sincerely.

Evan J. Smith, Esquire esmith@brodskysmith.com

Ryan P. Cardona, Esq. rcardona@brodskysmith.com

Brodsky & Smith, LLC

9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900

Beverly Hills, CA T: (877) 534-2590

F: (310) 247-0160

ATTACHMENT 1: EPA BENCHMARKS AND WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR DISCHARGES TO SALTWATER

EPA Benchmarks, Multi-Sector General Permit ("MSGP") & IGP

Parameter	Units	Benchmark Value	Source	
рН	pH Units	Less than 6.0 Greater	MSGP; Industrial	
		than 9.0	Stormwater Permit §	
		(Instantaneous)	XI(B) Tables 1-2	
	Mg/L	25 (Instantancous)	MSGP; Industrial	
Oil & Grease		25 (Instantaneous) 15 (Annual)	Stormwater Permit §	
		15 (Aimuai)	XI(B) Tables 1-2	
	Mg/L	400 (Instantaneous)	MSGP; Industrial	
Total Suspended Solids		100 (Annual)	Stormwater Permit §	
		100 (Allitual)	XI(B) Tables 1-2	
			MSGP; Industrial	
Zinc, Total	Mg/L	0.26** (Annual)	Stormwater Permit §	
			XI(B) Tables 1-2	
			MSGP; Industrial	
Aluminum, Total	Mg/L	0.75 (Annual)	Stormwater Permit §	
			XI(B) Tables 1-2	
			MSGP; Industrial	
Iron, Total	Mg/L	1.0 (Annual)	Stormwater Permit §	
			XI(B) Tables 1-2	
	Mg/L		MSGP; Industrial	
Lead, Total		0.262** (Annual)	Stormwater Permit §	
			XI(B) Tables 1-2	
Copper, Total	Mg/L		MSGP; Industrial	
		0.0332** + (Annual)	Stormwater Permit §	
			XI(B) Tables 1-2	

The NAL is the highest value used by the U.S. EPA based on their water hardness. While not an SIC required Pollutant Parameters, APC tests for Copper based on the TMDL of the receiving water.

ATTACHMENT 2: TABLE OF EXCEEDENCES AND TESTING FAILURES FOR ASSOCIATED PACIFIC CONSTRUCTORS, INC.

The following table contains each stormwater sampling result which exceeds EPA Benchmarks and/or lack of testing that likely caused or contributed to an exceedance of CFR and/or Basin Plan Water Quality Standards. All EPA Benchmarks and CFR and/or Basin Plan Water Quality Standards are listed in Attachment 1. All stormwater samples or lack thereof were reported by the Facility during the past five (5) years.

Reporting Period	Sample Date	Parameter	Result	Unit	
2018-2019	N/A	N/A	FAILURE TO REPORT ANY	N/A	
			NAL PARAMETER FOR		
2010-2017	IV/A	14/74	ANY QSE IN THIS ANNUAL	IV/A	
			REPORTING PERIOD		
2017-2018	N/A	N/A	FAILURE TO REPORT ANY		
			NAL PARAMETER FOR	N/A	
			ANY QSE IN THIS ANNUAL		
			REPORTING PERIOD		
2016-2017	N/A	N/A	FAILURE TO REPORT ANY	N/A	
			NAL PARAMETER FOR		
2010-2017	IN/A	IN/A	ANY QSE IN THIS ANNUAL	. IN/A	
			REPORTING PERIOD		
2015-2016	N/A	N/A	FAILURE TO REPORT ANY	N/A	
			NAL PARAMETER FOR		
			ANY QSE IN THIS ANNUAL		
			REPORTING PERIOD		
2014-2015	N/A	N/A	FAILURE TO REPORT ANY	N/A	
			NAL PARAMETER FOR		
2014-2013		18/73	ANY QSE IN THIS ANNUAL	18/74	
			REPORTING PERIOD		

^{*} As indicated above, APC has failed to submit testing results or laboratory reports for the requisite QSEs due for the any of the annual reporting periods within the last five (5) years, including for the ongoing 2018-2019 annual reporting period.

ATTACHMENT 3: ALLEGED DATES OF EXCEEDANCES BY ASSOCIATED PACIFIC CONSTRUCTORS, INC. January 1, 2014 – March 30, 2019

Days with precipitation two-tenths of an inch or greater, as reported by NOAA's National Climatic Data Center, Stations: Torrance Airport, CA US, GHCND:USW00003122, when a stormwater discharge from the Facility is likely to have occurred. http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/search

2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
2/3	1/10	1/5	1/5	1/8	1/6
2/7	1/11	1/6	1/9	1/9	1/7
2/27	1/27	1/7	1/10	2/13	1/12
2/28	2/23	1/10	1/11	2/27	1/14
3/1	3/1	1/31	1/12	3/3	1/15
3/2	3/2	2/18	1/19	3/11	1/16
4/2	3/3	3/6	1/20	3/15	1/17
4/26	4/8	3/7	1/22	3/17	1/31
11/1	5/8	3/11	1/23	3/21	2/2
11/2	5/14	4/8	2/3	3/22	2/3
11/30	5/15	5/6	2/6	4/19	2/4
12/2	6/9	10/24	2/7	10/13	2/5
12/3	7/18	10/31	2/11	11/22	2/10
12/12	7/19	11/26	2/17	11/29	2/13
12/16	7/20	11/27	2/18	11/30	2/14
12/17	9/10	12/16	2/20	12/6	3/6
12/31	9/15	12/21	3/22		3/7
	11/25	12/22	4/8		
	12/14	12/24	9/4		
	12/20	12/30	11/5		
	12/22				